



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING D	ATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/758,831	01/11/2	001	Yue Chen	206585	8533
23460	7590 10/19/2004			EXAMINER	
	OIT & MAYE	SCHNEIDER	SCHNEIDER, JOSHUA D		
TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 4900 180 NORTH STETSON AVENUE CHICAGO, IL 60601-6780				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2182	

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	09/758,831	CHEN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAN INO DATE of this assessment of the same	Joshua D Schneider	2182					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Ju	une 2004.	·					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)		FRITZ FLEMING PRIMARY EXAMINER					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date S. Patent and Trademark Office	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	(PTO-413) GROUP 2100					

Application/Control Number: 09/758,831

Art Unit: 2182

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 9, 17, and 24, have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-7, 9-14, 17-22, and 24-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,314,531 to Kram in further view of <u>How Networks Work</u> by Derfler.
- 4. With regards to claims 1, 9, 17, and 24, Kram teaches network-switching elements with connections to external networks and connections to network servers (See Fig. 3). Kram teaches that it is well known to test and debug for many types of communications failures, including transient and persistent failures (column 2, lines 12-23), by emulating such communication failures (column 3, lines 30-55). Kram fails to explicitly teach the tested element being a switch between an external network and a private network. Kram does teach that the both LANs (typically private) and WANs (typical of external connections to private networks) are well known in the art (column 1, lines 7-59). Derfler further teaches that the switching between LANs and WANs was well known in the art (pages 144-151, 163-167, and 196-201). These connections between various networks are often accomplished with Point-to-Point Tunneling

Art Unit: 2182

Protocols (PPTP) or Private Network-to-Network Interfaces (PNNI). These well known systems allow secure connections to private networks from external networks as taught by Derfler. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine the network testing of Kram to the external network accesses of private WANs of Derfler in order to provide more robust testing of the fault rich WAN environment.

- 5. With regards to claims 2 and 15, Kram teaches that the test controller must be in communication with the external network through which it is conducting its tests (column 4, lines 3-13).
- 6. With regards to claims 3-7, 10-14, 18-22, and 25-29, Kram teaches using data operations such as delays, drops (deletions), reordering (shuffling), and introducing errors (corruption), in order to test and debug network systems (see abstract).
- 7. Claims 8, 16, 23, and 30, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,314,531 to Kram and <u>How Networks Work</u> by Derfler as applied to claims 1, 3-7, 9-14, 17-22, and 24-29 above, and further in view of "Crash-Proof," <u>PC Magazine</u>, by Derfler.
- 8. With regards to claims 8, 16, 23, and 30, Kram and How Networks Work by Derfler fail to teach network flow monitoring in the traditional sense of the phrase, though it is well known in the art and necessary to the rerouting of the data for the emulation that is taught. However, as taught in "Crash-Proof," by Derfler, monitoring flows for load balancing is very well known in the art (page 136 and 137). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary-skill-in-the-art-at-the—time of invention to combine the load balancing of Derfler with the switch of Kram and Derfler in order to create a more robust network switching system.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joshua D Schneider whose telephone number is (571) 272-4158. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey A Gaffin can be reached on (571) 272-4146. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/758,831

Art Unit: 2182

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JDS

FRITZ FLEWING 2700